

Erasmus + Key Action 2 Capacity Building in the field of Higher Education

1

Call for proposals 2017 Piia Heinämäki Erasmus+ Info Day, Lviv 21.10.2016



OUTLINE

Capacity Building in the field of Higher Education General overview

Project consortium and Financing rules

Application process and Selection procedure

CBHE – Information sources



PART I

General Overview of the Action





Capacity-Building Projects are:

- transnational cooperation projects
- between higher education institutions (HEIs)
- from Programme and (eligible) Partner Countries,
- aiming at modernizing the Partner Countries' higher education institutions and systems.



CBHE Programme and Partner Countries

Programme Countries (33 countries paying a contribution to E+)	CBHE Eligible Partner Countries (> 150 countries)
 EU Member States: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom Other programme countries: Iceland, Liechtenstein, Norway, former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Turkey. 	 Region 1: Western Balkans Region 2: Eastern Partnership Region 3: South-Mediterranean Region 4: Russian Federation Region 6: Asia Region 7: Central Asia Region 8: Latin America Region 9: Iran, Iraq, Yemen Region 10: South Africa Region 11: African, Caribean and Pacific
	Ineligible Partner countries: Regions 5, 12 and 13



CBHE – Types of Projects





Special Mobility Strand

For students and staff of HEIs involved in project consortia

Partner Countries eligible (ONLY):

- Region 1: Western Balkans
- Region 2: Eastern Partnership countries
- Region 3: South-Mediterranean countries

Conditions:

- Mobility should be instrumental and integrated in projects objectives (no mobility on its own)
- Added value and/or innovative character of the activities proposed (approx. 40% of the selected projects will receive additional funding)
- Comply with provisions of **Erasmus Charter for Higher Education**



Special Mobility Strand activities

Students:

- Study periods (3-12 months)/traineeships (2-12 months)
- covers **all cycles** (BA, MA, PhD)
- corresponds to study area/academic disciplines addressed by the project
- Students from HEIs of the consortia can study at partner HEI or do a traineeship in an enterprise or other workplace

Staff:

- Teaching & training periods (5 days to 2 months)
- **teaching**: HEI teaching staff /staff from enterprises to teach at a partner HEI
- **training**: HEI teaching and non-teaching staff can attend (only staff from Partner Countries)

a) structured courses/training events;

b) job shadowing/observation periods/trainings

Erasmus+



Who can Participate? - Eligible Applicants

Exceptions: Russia, Syria & Libya cannot coordinate projects State-recognised public or private Higher Education Institutions

Associations/ Organizations of Higher Education Institutions

Only for <u>Structural Projects</u>: recognized national or international rector, teacher or student organisations.



Each applicant organisation must be located in a Programme or in a Partner country



Who can Participate ? - Eligible Partners

State-recognised public or private HEIs

Any public or private organisation active in the labour market or in the fields of education, training and youth (e.g. enterprise, NGO etc.)

Associations or organisations of HEIs with main focus on HE

International governmental organisation (self-financing basis)

Each participating organisation must be located in a Programme or in an eligible Partner country



Who can participate? - Associated Partners



Contribute indirectly

• "Associated partners" are **not considered as part of the consortium** and therefore **cannot benefit from any financial support** from the project

• Ex: non-academic partners providing placement opportunities



Part II – The Consortia and the financing rules







Consortia Structure

STRUCTURAL

PROJECTS:

Partner

Country

Ministries for

HE must participate

National Projects (1 Partner Country only + min. 3 Programme Countries)

Min.1 HEI from each Programme Country Multi-Country Projects (≥ 2 Partner Countries+ min. 3 Programme Countries)

Min.1 HEI from each Programme Country

Min.3 HEI from the Partner Country

At least as many Partner Country HEIs as Programme Country HEIs Min.2 HEI from each Partner Country

At least as many Partner Country HEIs as Programme Country HEIs

Russia.

Latin America

lv mult



Priorities & Types of projects

National Priorities defined by the **Ministries of Education** in close consultation with the EU Delegations

Regional priorities defined by the **Commission** and based on EU's external policy priorities

National Projects

National projects must address:

National Priorities set for Partner Country in Regions 1, 2, 3, 7, 10

Regional Priorities for the regions where no national priorities are established: Regions 4, 6, 8, 9, 11

Multi-Country Projects

Regional priorities apply to multi-country projects in the same region

Combination of **regional + national** priorities <u>common</u> to all partner countries may also be accepted (in particular for cross-regional projects)



How to calculate the budget -Categories

Staff costs (max 40%)	4 Staff Categories (Manager, Researcher/ Teacher/Trainer, Technician, Administrator)
Travel costs	Students/staff from partners in countries involved in the project from their place of origin to the venue of the activity and return. Activities and related travels must be carried out at project beneficiaries organisation.
Costs of stay	Subsistence, accommodation, local and public transport, personal or optional health insurance.
Equipment (max 30%)	Purchased <u>exclusively</u> for the benefit of HEIs in the Partner Countries
Sub-contracting (max 10%)	Exceptional for services related to competences that can't be found in the consortia



How to calculate the budget -Methods





How to calculate the budget –Unit Costs

A unit cost is a fixed contribution which is multiplied by the specific number of units to cover the costs linked to the implementation of a specific activity or task.

> **EU Grants** : 2 important principles.

- Non profit
- Co-funding
- E+ Unit Cost amounts are the result of a statistical analysis carried out on real project costs from previous generation of programmes (LLP, Erasmus Mundus, Jean Monnet, Tempus, etc.)
- For CBHE, simulation on Tempus projects -> "statistically" the combination of real and unit costs corresponded +/- to 90% of their total eligible costs.



How to calculate the budget –Unit Costs

Real costs: How did you use the grant ? => input based =>Expenses incurred, supporting documents

Unit costs: what did you achieve with the grant ? =>output based

=>No need to prove the actual expenditure but you need to show the "triggering event" (i.e.: the fact the activity was indeed properly implemented (e.g. teaching, training)



Use of the Grant

internal decision of the partnership (in coherence with application)



How to calculate the budget - STAFF COSTS

Financing mechanism for staff costs (see Programme Guide page 158, 160 and page 161 Table A and B)	Amount	
Unit costs	per manager involved per day	
Subdivided in 4 categories	per researcher/ teacher/trainer involved per day	Max. 40% of the total grant
and country groups (4 groups for Programme and 4 groups for Partner	per technician involved per day	
Countries)	per administrative staff involved per day	



How to calculate the budget - Travel/Cost of Stay

Costs of Stay

Travel Costs

for eligible activities please refer Programme Guide

DAYS	STAFF	STUDENTS	Distance Bands (one way)	Unit Cost (return trip)
1-14	120€	55€	100-499 km	180€
			500-1999 km	275€
15-60	70€	-	2000-2999 km	360€
			3000-3999 km	530€
15-90	-	40€	4000-7999 km	820€
61 - 90	50€	n.a.	8000 km and more	1.100€



How to calculate the budget – Special Mobility Strand

Max. 80% of the total EU grant awarded for the joint or structural project (excluding the mobility strand) (see Programme Guide page 162-165) Travel costs Cost of Stay



How to calculate the budget STUDENTS - Cost of Stay



Costs of Stay €/Month

Students from Programme Countries

Students from Partner countries

Irrespective of hosting country	Country	Country	Country
	group 1	group 2	group 3 + 4
	hosting	hosting	hosting
650 €	850 €	800 €	750 €



How to calculate the budget **STAFF Costs of Stay**

Cost of Stay €/Day **Staff from Partner Countries**

Cost of Stay €/Day **Staff from Programme Countries**

Days	group 1	Country group 2 hosting	Country group 3 hosting	Country group 4 hosting	Days	Irrespective of hosting country
1-14	160€	140 €	120 €	100 €	1-14	160 €
15-60	112€	98 €	84 €	70 €	15-60	112€

Specia

Strand

Mobi

A V V



Partnership Agreement

- Mandatory
- To be submitted to the Agency within 6 months of the signature of grant contract (Signed by the legal rep.)
- **Joint** (one doc signed by all partners) **or Bilateral** (partner A + coordinating inst.)
- **Template** available to be adapted to specific needs of partnership
- **Comprehensive** : covering <u>all aspects</u> of the project:
 - The **partners role** and responsibilities;
 - Financial Management;
 - Project Management;
 - Project Quality Assurance;
 - Student issues
 - Decision/Conflict resolution mechanisms



Part III – The application and

Selection procedure



Selection results 2016

Stage	1 Western Balkans	2 Eastern Partners hip	3 South Med	4 Russian Federa- tion	6 Asia	7 Central Asia	8 Latin America	9 Iran, Iraq, Yemen	10 South Africa	11 ACP	Total*
Reception	109	238	169	78	78	101	78	8	14	53	736
Eligible	93	219	146	77	68	93	72	8	13	42	653
Sent to Consultation	37	64	80	35	57	49	31	5	6	19	287
Proposed for funding	18	24	36	16	53	19	14	4	5	9	147
Success rate	17%	10%	21%	21%	<mark>68</mark> %	1 9 %	18%	50%	36%	17%	20 %
<i>(compared to 2015)</i>	(15) +20%	(23) +4%	(40) -10%	(13) +23%	(27) +96 %	(23) -17%	(19) -26%	(2) +100%	(4) +25%	(0) -	(140) +5%

* The number of projects by region cannot be added considering that a project can cover different regions.

Erasmus+



Selection: evolution of the applications (2015-2016)





Selection results 2016 - Ukraine



Ukraine involved in

- **18%** of all submitted applications
- **55%** of EaP applications •

Nr of applications



Lessons Learned

- Relatively high percentage of ineligible applications due to insufficient understanding of minimum requirements for consortia;
- National/regional priorities established for the Partner Country need to be respected and matched with the local needs (assessed in award criterion 'relevance');
- A need to mobilise **new institutions** both in Programme and Partner Countries, **as grant holders and/or partners**;
- Outreach beyond the capital cities to institutions in the regions and the periphery;
- Lack of innovative approaches (new content and methodologies);





Key messages for the 3rd Call

- Diversify the type of applicants involving new institutions;
- ✓ Intensify efforts to encourage the cooperation with Asian countries;
- Disseminate widely the opportunity to cooperate with ACP countries;
- ✓ Pay particular attention to the **eligibility criteria**, mainly minimum number of nationally recognised HEIs in consortia;
- ✓ Respect strictly the **national / regional priorities** addressed to each Partner Country affecting the score on Relevance (threshold of 50% must be reached to pass to the next selection stages);
- ✓ Involve the Partner Country partners from the early stages of the proposal preparation.





Indicative Budget and Calendar for 2017 Call

Region	Budget allocated 2016 (in Mio €)	Budget allocated 2017 (Indicative) (in Mio €)	Indicative number of selected projects under the assumption of national projects)
REGION 1 - Western Balkans	13,17	13,82	17
REGION 2 - Eastern Partnership countries	13,86	13,51	16
REGION 3 - South-Mediterranean countries	28,57	27,84	35
Additional allocation for Tunisia*	0	3,00	4
REGION 4 - Russian Federation	6,89	6,72	8
REGION 6 - Asia	35,38	39,55	48
REGION 7 - Central Asia	9,2	8,80	11
REGION 8 - Latin America	13,1	13,20	16
REGION 9 - Iran, Iraq, Yemen	1,9	2,00	3
KEGION 7 - Iran, Iraq, Temen	0,69	0,69	J
REGION 10 - South Africa	3,42	3,91	5
REGION 11 - ACP countries	5,29	5,64	8
TOTAL	131,47	138,68	

*An additional allocation for Tunisia might become available subject to the relevant Commission decisions being taken.



Erasmus+



Application and Selection procedure Indicative roadmap for selection process-CBHE

Steps	Date			
Publication Erasmus+ CBHE Call for Proposals	October 2016			
Deadline for submission of applications	9 February 2017			
Verification of eligibility of project proposals	February – March 2017			
Assessment of projects by experts (remotely)	March – May 2017			
Consultation procedure	June 2017			
Evaluation Committee for selection of projects	July 2017			
Sending for signature of Award decision by Agency AO	July 2017			
Notification of applicants & publication of results	July 2017			
Preparation and signature of grant agreements	August-September 2017			
Start of eligibility period	15 October 2017			



What is assessed? Eligibility Criteria







What is assessed? Exclusion and Selection Criteria

The institution is **not in one of the situations described in section C.** Exclusion criteria of the Guidelines (such as bankruptcy, professional misconduct, subject of fraud, corruption, administrative penalties, conflict of interest, etc.)

Legal person status of the applicant organisation

Financial capacity to complete the proposed activities (private entities only)

Operational capacity to complete the proposed activities

Based on supporting and administrative documents, like the declaration of honour, legal entity form, profit and loss accounts...





What is assessed? Award Criteria



To be considered for funding, proposals must score <u>at least 60 points in total and</u> - out of these points at <u>least 15 points for "Relevance</u>"





What is assessed? Award Criterion 1 – Relevance

DEFINITION

• The project contributes to the achievement of the policy objectives of the participating partners



• It is based on and addresses real needs & problems of the target groups

- How clearly the project addresses the Programme objectives and priorities (annual, thematic, geographical priorities)
- Needs analysis and presentation of specific problems addressed
- Definition of **target groups**
- What is **innovative** or complementary to other initiatives
- How the **project was prepared**





What is assessed ? Award Criterion 2 -Quality of Design and Implementation

DEFINITION

- The activities proposed are appropriate to achieve the specific and wider objectives
- It uses the most appropriate methodology
- It demonstrates a logical and sound planning capacity



- Description of the project as a whole, including:
- specific objectives
- activities, expected outcomes, wider and specific objectives
- academic content and pedagogical approach
- involvement of academics, students and stakeholders at large
- quality control processes





What is assessed? Award Criterion 3 - Quality Team and Cooperation

DEFINITION

• The partnership includes all the skills, recognised expertise and competences required



- Suitable distribution of tasks
- Sound communication and coordination

- Presentation of the partners competences and roles in the project
- Description of any complementary skills, expertise and competences directly relating to the planned project activities
- ensure **regional dimension**
- Planned measures to ensure effective communication





What is assessed? Award Criterion 4 - Impact and Sustainability

DEFINITION

- Information/outcomes of the project are made available to groups not directly involved (multiplier effect)
- Optimal use of the results during & beyond the project lifetime



• Expected impact will be substantial and sustainable in the long term (financial, institutional and policy level)

- Expected **impact** at different levels
- **Dissemination strategy**: outputs to be disseminated, target groups, dissemination tools & activities
- Measures planned to ensure the sustainability of project outcomes and outputs at three levels: financial, institutional and political
- Evidence of impact on HE at institutional / national level in PCs



What is assessed? Quality Design & Implementation Additional Award Criteria

DEFINITION

• Full contribution to the achievement of the related project and added value of the project

Strand

- Transparent procedures selection of participants
- Quality systems



CONTENT

Relevance of the mobility strand for the project

Well-**articulated** with the project; demonstrated **added value**

Demonstration of positive **impact** for individual and institutions

Validation and recognition at institutional level





Part III: What is assessed? - Selection Process







What is assessed? - Award Decision

EACEA takes decision based on:

ranking list on quality established by external experts

Evaluation Committee's recommendation, taking into account: the results from the consultation process

the budget available for each region

the need to achieve a geographical balance within a region

sufficient coverage of the priorities



CBHE Information sources

Erasmus+ website - EACEA http://eacea.ec.europa.eu/erasmus-plus_en

Erasmus+ website – EU Commission

http://ec.europa.eu/programmes/erasmus-plus/index_en.htm

International E+ Contact Points (ICPs) in Programme Countries

<u>https://eacea.ec.europa.eu/erasmus-plus/contacts/international-</u> <u>erasmus-plus-contact-points_en</u>

National Erasmus+ Offices (NEOs) in certain Partner Countries (PCs)

https://eacea.ec.europa.eu/erasmus-plus/contacts/national-erasmusplus-offices_en



